IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.SESHASAYANA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 373 of 2005

Between:

Tenneti Chandra Leela, W/o. Sri Rama Rao,
Dress Making Training Centre, Atmakuru, Mangalagiri Mandal,
Guntur District, R/o. Atmakuru, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Registrar, Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad.
2 The Block Development Officer, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3 The District Women & Child Welfare Officer, Guntur.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus by declaring the orders passed in M.A.SR.No. 9454 of 2004 dated 20-9-2004 the learned Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad in upholding the objections raised by the Registry of Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, in respect of maintainability of the M.A., is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondent No. 1 i.e. the Registrar of Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad to number the M.A. and post before the concern for hearing forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.V.SIMHADRI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES II

The Court made the following :

O R D E R: (PER GB.J)

1) This writ petition is filed assailing the order of the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad, in M.A(SR)No.9454 of 2004, dated 20.09.2004.

2) The Office has taken an objection as to the maintainability of M.A(SR) No.9454 of 2004, for which, the learned counsel for the petitioner therein made submissions on the docket, but, however, the Tribunal passed an order holding that the office objection is upheld.

3) We are not able to cull out any reason for upholding the office objection and no reason was assigned for rejecting the application.

4) Under these circumstances, we set aside the order under challenge and remit back the matter to the Tribunal for fresh consideration and for passing appropriate reasoned order after hearing both the parties.

5) The writ petition is accordingly allowed. No costs.

_____

G.BIKSHAPATHY.J.,

_____

B.SESHASAYANA REDDY.J.,

DT.24.01.2005

tjs

To

1 The Registrar, Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad.
2 The Block Development Officer, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3 The District Women & Child Welfare Officer, Guntur.

4 2 CCs to the G.P. for Services-II, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

5 2CD copies